The Mental Life of Christ: Jesus, Psychological Suffering, and the Humanity of God

AGUM MANUELLA OKELLO ELIZABETH

Caplora STEM International School

Independent Study; Theology, Psychology and Philosophy

N\A

November 20, 2026

Abstract

Over the past century, scholars and clinicians have repeatedly attempted to evaluate Jesus of Nazareth through modern psychiatric frameworks, oscillating between claims of delusional pathology and assertions of superhuman mental equilibrium. This paper argues that while retrospective clinical diagnosis is methodologically impossible due to historical distance and the theological genre of the Gospels, the canonical narratives nevertheless present a richly textured portrait of Jesus' psychological interiority. Focusing on the emotional landscape of the Gospels and the acute psychological crisis in the Garden of Gethsemane, this study challenges religious assumptions that equate emotional distress with moral or spiritual failure. Drawing on New Testament scholarship, Thomas Aquinas's account of *propassions*, Gregory of Nazianzus's soteriological principle of the Incarnation, and contemporary theological psychology, the paper demonstrates that Christ's capacity for grief, fear, exhaustion, and anguish is integral to the Christian claim that God fully assumed—and thereby redeemed—the vulnerable human mind.

Keywords: Jesus Christ, Incarnation, psychological suffering, emotions in the Gospels, Gethsemane, hypostatic union, theological anthropology

The Mental Life of Christ: Jesus, Psychological Suffering, and the Humanity of God

The Temptation to Diagnose Jesus

For over a century, modern thinkers have tried to place Jesus of Nazareth on the psychiatrist's couch. This impulse stretches back at least to early twentieth-century debates—such as Albert Schweitzer's famous critiques of psychiatric "pathographies" and Walter E. Bundy's (1922) study *The Psychic Health of Jesus*—and continues through late-twentieth-century defenses like O. Quentin Hyder's (1977) "On the Mental Health of Jesus Christ" up to contemporary neuropsychiatric analyses like Murray, Cunningham, and Price's (2012) "The Role of Psychotic Disorders in Religious History Considered." Across this literature, writers have oscillated between extreme poles: either Jesus' radical claims and apocalyptic visions indicate delusional pathology, or his serene authority proves a state of flawless, superhuman mental equilibrium.

Both extremes stumble over a basic methodological barrier. Modern psychiatry did not exist in first-century Judea, and we do not have Jesus sitting in a clinical interview. The Gospels are theological biographies, not psychiatric case notes or verbatim psychological transcripts. Even contemporary psychiatric scholars who examine historical religious figures through a diagnostic lens explicitly acknowledge that retrospective evaluations based on ancient texts cannot establish clinical certainty due to the absence of direct psychiatric interviews and cultural-historical distance (Bundy, 1922; Murray et al., 2012). Yet acknowledging that we cannot diagnose Jesus does not mean psychology and philosophy have nothing to say about him. Instead, it frees us to examine the human interiority the texts actually present.

The Emotional Landscape of the Gospels

When we set aside diagnostic labels and simply read the texts, the Jesus who emerges is remarkably expressive. As New Testament scholars F. Scott Spencer (2021) and Stephen Voorwinde (2011) have documented across dozens of passages, the Gospel writers do not portray an impassive stoic. Jesus weeps openly at the tomb of his friend Lazarus (John 11:35). He feels visceral compassion (*splagchnizomai*—literally, a gut-wrenching ache) for the hungry and the sick (Mark 6:34), experiences sudden surges of joy (Luke 10:21), and burns with moral anger at religious hypocrisy and economic exploitation in the temple (Mark 3:5; 11:15–17). He grows physically exhausted, withdraws from suffocating crowds to seek solitude (Luke 5:16), feels the sting of betrayal, and endures profound loneliness.

In popular Christian imagination, however, Jesus' divinity often overshadows this rich emotional life. Classical Greek philosophy—particularly Stoicism—bequeathed to early Christian theology an ideal of divine *apatheia*, the notion that a perfect God cannot be moved, disrupted, or wounded by external events. Influenced by this philosophical inheritance, believers have sometimes treated Jesus' emotions as mere pedagogical gestures performed for our benefit rather than genuine human experiences. Taking the Gospels seriously forces a harder, more philosophically interesting question: Why does an emotional God make us so uncomfortable?

Gethsemane: The Psychological Crisis

Nowhere is this discomfort sharper than in the Garden of Gethsemane (Matthew 26:36–46; Mark 14:32–42; Luke 22:39–46). On the night before his execution, Jesus is not portrayed as marching serenely toward destiny. Mark's Gospel uses stark, unsettling Greek terminology: Jesus becomes "deeply distressed and troubled" (*ekthambeisthai kai adēmonein*), telling his friends that his soul is "overwhelmed with sorrow to the point of death" (Mark 14:33–34). Luke

adds that in his anguish (*agōnia*), his sweat became like drops of blood falling to the ground (Luke 22:44).

Gethsemane lays bare the anatomy of an acute psychological crisis across four distinct dimensions. First, it exhibits anticipatory dread: Jesus grasps the full weight of the physical torture, social humiliation, and spiritual abandonment awaiting him. Second, it demonstrates fear without cowardice: his plea to "let this cup pass" (Matthew 26:39) reveals a natural human recoil from destruction—a fear that exists alongside, rather than in opposition to, his moral integrity. Third, it captures profound relational isolation: at his moment of greatest vulnerability, he asks his closest companions simply to stay awake with him, only to find them asleep (Mark 14:37). Fourth, it models unfiltered prayer: rather than repressing his anguish behind a mask of pious composure, Jesus brings his raw terror directly into his relationship with God. Gethsemane thus poses a profound challenge to religious assumptions: Can a person experience crushing psychological distress while remaining entirely spiritually faithful? In the Gospel narrative, the answer is unmistakably yes.

Mental Suffering Is Not Moral Failure

For generations, many Christian communities have quietly treated psychological pain—anxiety, deep grief, despair, burnout—as symptoms of spiritual deficiency. Struggling believers are often told, explicitly or implicitly, that intense emotional distress signals weak faith, unconfessed sin, or insufficient prayer.

The psychological portrait of Jesus dismantles that stigma from the inside out. If the central figure of Christianity can experience terror, sorrow, exhaustion, and feelings of abandonment (Mark 15:34) without those states constituting sin or moral failure, then distress itself cannot be equated with spiritual defect. Medieval theologian Thomas Aquinas addressed

this exact tension in his *Summa Theologiae* (III, q. 15, art. 4–6), distinguishing between disordered passions that overthrow reason and what he called *propassions* (*propassiones*)—genuine, involuntary waves of sorrow, fear, and grief that arise naturally when a virtuous human mind confronts real evil and loss (Aquinas, 1274/1948; Titus, 2009). Building on this Thomistic insight, theologian and psychologist Craig Steven Titus (2009) argues that vulnerability to emotional upheaval is not a flaw in human nature; it is an essential part of how human beings register the real weight of love, loss, and evil in the world. To grieve deeply or recoil from trauma is not a failure of faith—it is evidence of being genuinely alive.

The Incarnation and the Human Mind

This brings us to the deepest philosophical claim of Christian theology. The doctrine of the Incarnation does not merely suggest that God observed human suffering from a safe metaphysical distance, nor that God wore a human body like a disguise. According to the classical formulation of the hypostatic union defined at the Council of Chalcedon (451 CE), Christ unites full divinity and full humanity in a single person—meaning Jesus possessed not only a human body and human relationships, but a genuinely human mind (*nous*) and psyche.

In the fourth century, Church Father Gregory of Nazianzus defended this exact point against Apollinarianism—a heresy claiming that the divine Logos replaced Jesus' human mind. In his famous *Letter 101 to Cledonius*, Gregory articulated a foundational principle of Christian soteriology: "For that which He has not assumed He has not healed; but that which is united to His Godhead is also saved" (Gregory of Nazianzus, ca. 382/1894, p. 440). If Christ lacked a genuinely human mind—capable of sorrow, fear, and psychological strain—then the human psyche itself would remain unredeemed. As contemporary theologian Henry L. Novello (2019) argues in *Passionate Deification*, Christ's emotional life is therefore not an embarrassing

footnote to his divinity; it is central to how God enters and heals the human condition. If God assumed humanity completely in order to redeem it, then God also assumed the fragility and vulnerability of the human psyche. An unfeeling God could never truly share the human condition, because to be human is to be exposed to grief, fear, and heartbreak.

Listening Instead of Diagnosing

We cannot reconstruct Jesus' clinical psychiatric profile, and trying to force him into modern diagnostic categories misses the point of the texts entirely. What we *can* recognize is that the Jesus of the Gospels is radically, unapologetically affected by the world around him. He cries at gravesides, grows angry at injustice, rejoices with friends, retreats when exhausted, begs his companions not to leave him alone, and sweats blood in the dark. Ultimately, the most urgent question isn't "What disorder did Jesus have?" or "How did he remain untouched by pain?" It is far more unsettling—and far more consoling: What does it mean for our understanding of human dignity that Christianity's image of God includes a mind capable of anguish?

References

Aquinas, T. (1948). *Summa theologiae* (Fathers of the English Dominican Province, Trans.). Benziger Bros. (Original work published 1274)

Bundy, W. E. (1922). *The psychic health of Jesus*. Macmillan.

Gregory of Nazianzus. (1894). To Cledonius the priest against Apollinarius (Ep. CI) (C. G. Browne & J. E. Swallow, Trans.). In P. Schaff & H. Wace (Eds.), *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers, Second Series* (Vol. 7, pp. 439–443). Christian Literature Publishing Co. (Original work written ca. 382)

Hyder, O. Q. (1977). On the mental health of Jesus Christ. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, *5*(1), 3–12. https://doi.org/10.1177/009164717700500101

Murray, E. D., Cunningham, M. G., & Price, B. H. (2012). The role of psychotic disorders in religious history considered. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *24*(4), 410–426. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.neuropsych.11090214

Novello, H. L. (2019). *Passionate deification: The integral role of the emotions in Christ's life and in Christian life*. Pickwick Publications.

Spencer, F. S. (2021). *Passions of the Christ: The emotional life of Jesus in the Gospels*. Baker Academic.

Titus, C. S. (2009). Passions in Christ: Spontaneity, development, and virtue. *The Thomist: A Speculative Quarterly Review*, *73*(1), 53–87. https://doi.org/10.1353/tho.2009.0033

Voorwinde, S. (2011). *Jesus' emotions in the Gospels*. T&T Clark.